

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,738	11/20/2003	Hiroyuki Odaka	2596 USIP	2596 USIP 8700	
23115	7590 07/15/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
	PHARMACEUTICALS	WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E			
475 HALF I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 500			1614		
LINCOLNS	HIRE, IL 60069	DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/717,7	38	ODAKA ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Kevin E. V	Weddington	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNISHED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNISHED STATE OF THIS COMMUNISH OF THIS CO	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30 days, a reply within the statestatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u>	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 9-12,16,18 and 23-30 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 9-12,16,18 and 23-30 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific or specific that the same of the specific or spec	ection to the drawing(s) by the correction is requir	pe held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/937,447.</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (for ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 11-20-03.		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Claims 9-16, 18 and 23-30 are presented for examination.

Applicants' preliminary amendment and information disclosure statement filed November 20, 2003 have been received and entered.

# Priority

Page 2

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. II9(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/937,447, filed on February 26, 2001.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. II2:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim I2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. II2, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants' specification does not contain any test results or experimental data showing the instant sensitizers will, in fact, prevent disturbance of consciousness, coma or respiratory diseases in a mammal not presently at risk of or predisposed to developing such conditions.

Claim 12 is not allowed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. I12, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for pioglitazone; compounds of the formula II; 5-[[6-(2-fluorobenzyloxy)-2-naphthyl]methyl]-2,4-thiazolidinedione; FK-614; and KRP-297, does not reasonably provide enablement for other insulin sensitizers, such as metformin. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

It is not pointed out how the applicants can improve acidosis caused by a biguanide (as stated in the enclosed Sirtori et al. reference) with an insulin sensitizer, namely metformin (a biguanide).

Claim 18 is not allowed.

To overcome this rejection, applicants may wish to amend claim 18 by inserting the limitations of claims 28-30 to exclude metformin.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. IO3(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section IO2 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner

to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 9-12, 18 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemnitz et al. (PTO-1449), Momose et al. (6,251,926) and Acton III, et al. (2002/0042441).

Kemnitz et al. teach an insulin sensitizer, pioglitazone, for increasing insulin sensitivity, reduces blood glucose, insulin and lipid levels in mammals.

Momose et al. teach oxyminoalkanoic acid derivatives derived from formula I (see claim I of the reference). Note the cited reference formula I is the same as applicants' formula II disclosed in claim 28 and 29. Note the instant oxyminoalkanoic acid derivatives are used to treat diabetes and complication associated with diabetes.

Acton III, et al. teaches PPAR gamma dual agonist, such as KPR-297, is well-known to treat diabetes and other diabetic complication associated with diabetes.

The instant invention differs from the cited reference in that the cited reference does not teach the preferred insulin sensitizers are used to improve and treat acidosis. However, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to treat improve or treat acidosis since acidosis is considered to be one complication associated with diabetes in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

For the method of improving acidosis in a mammal with the instant insulin sensitizers are not persuasive since the instant insulin sensitizers are well-known in the art to treat all complications associated with diabetes including ketosis.

Claims 9-12, 18 and 28-30 are not allowed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. IO3(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section IO2 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims I6 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. I03(a) as being unpatentable over Kemnitz et al. (PTO-I449), Inoue et al. (PTO-I449) or Fujiwara (PTO-I449) in view of Windholz et al. (PTO-I449).

Kemnitz et al., Inoue et al., or Fujiwara teach individually insulin sensitizers that are used to treat diabetes and complications associated with diabetes.

The instant invention differs from the cited references in that the cited references do not teach the addition of insulin with the insulin sensitizers. However, the secondary reference, Windholz et al., disclose insulin as a well-known compound used to treat diabetes and complication associated with diabetes.

Clearly, the combination of the two compounds into a single composition would give an additive effect in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Claims 16 and 23-27 are not allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,738 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00 am-7: 30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0953. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin E. Weddington
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington July 6, 2004